

ADDENDUM NO. 2

MKE Fire Alarm System RFP
PROJECT NO. A269-19005

GENERAL MITCHELL INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT
Milwaukee County, Wisconsin

Prepared By: MILWAUKEE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES
ENGINEERING DIVISION
Airport Engineers - Telephone 414-747-5320 or 414-747-6233
5300 South Howell Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53207

DATE OF ADDENDUM: January 25, 2019

BIDS CLOSE: 4:00 P.M., WEDNESDAY February 6, 2019 Revised Date!!

TO ALL BIDDERS:

Each bidder shall read this Addendum in its entirety to determine to what extent his proposal and the contract conditions will be affected. This Addendum to the Contract Documents is issued to modify, explain, or correct the original documents and is hereby made part of the Contract Documents.

RECEIPT - Sign the following receipt and attach to submitted Proposal Form.

Receipt of Addendum No. 2, consisting of Two (2) pages, for MKE Fire alarm system Upgrade, at General Mitchell International Airport, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, dated January 25, 2019 is acknowledged.

Date _____ Firm _____

Per _____ Address _____

Commented [AD1]:

The items in **red** need to be replaced with the information needed for the addendum.

Be sure to change the header and footers.

CHANGES

Consultant questions and answers

1. Can you give us a rough idea of how much and what kind of existing drawing information is available?
A: We have existing drawings available for all building in AutoCadd.
2. To give us a guide for some of our survey efforts, can you tell us if there is any documentation indicating the locations of existing duct smoke detectors?
A: We have Fire alarms located on drawings for all buildings except MKE Business Park.

1. Will the contract agreement titled "Milwaukee County Department of Administrative Services Consultant Agreement for Professional Services, Compensation Based Upon "Not-To-Exceed" Sum and Individual "Direct Salary Rates/Hour" (Without Outside Construction Manager), Type "C" Agreement" be used for this project?
A: Yes, Type C which is an Actual cost Not to Exceed.
2. If the answer to Question 1 is yes, then this question applies. Our review of the Type "C" Agreement concludes that if, during the course of completing RFP Tasks 1 through Task 4, efficiencies occur and Tasks 1 through Task 4 are completed in less hours than specified in the offerors spreadsheet/matrix required by RFP paragraph VIII.j, then the consultant can invoice, and the consultant will receive, payment for the contract agreed not-to-exceed fee for that task. Is our review conclusion correct?
A: InCorrect. Invoicing is based on Actual cost, not lump sum.
3. If the answer to Question 2 is yes (our conclusion is correct), then this question applies. Paragraph VIII.m requires that the fee proposal "shall be clearly stated as an actual cost not-to-exceed fee for these services." Paragraph VIII.m infers this is/will be a Cost Plus Contract Agreement. There appears to be a discrepancy with the RFP and the Type "C" Agreement, identified in Question 1, since the contract terms will be a Not-To-Exceed Sum. Please verify that the Type "C" Agreement Not-To-Exceed Sum prevails.
A: Your conclusion is incorrect.
4. What is the maximum allowable profit on labor costs?
A: Milwaukee County does not have a maximum allowable profit on labor costs. We simply require that the consultant provides the (1) Overhead and Profit Factor and (2) the audited account of overhead factor (a FAR audit certified rate) or an Overhead Rate without profit. The consultant understands and agrees that no direct charge will be made for labor or expenses included in the Overhead Rate Factor.
1. Are most plans for these buildings available in AutoCad or are much of them PDF that would have to be converted?
A: The plans are available in AutoCad.